

Secret Intelligence Service

Room No. 15

Notes for Discussion

'A Quickly Changing and Wider Perspective'

"We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them."

Albert Einstein (1879 - 1955)


The US did wish to bring India under its 'Space Command' so that its entire military will be run via the Pentagon space warfighting satellite program. The term 'interoperability' was coined to describe the process where all allies must have suitable warfighting systems which can be technically run through the US Space Command System. In the end this means theoretically that the US would be controlling the deadly tip of the spear because and arguably, due to China's recent and huge advances - it was the case that no other nation had all the satellites and ground stations around the globe that gave the US the ability to see everything on the planet, hear everything, and ultimately target every place on the planet.

All the new NATO allies (and partners such as Japan, South Korea, Australia, New Zealand) were being brought into the global military alliance so to control and dominate the planet on behalf of 'western corporate capitalism'. It is being surmised that this means London-Washington-Brussels-Paris-Berlin running the world. Not a very accurate picture then, let alone now.

Others are/were also saying just as much, and one must question the validity of the theoretical assertion that countries; Russia, China, Iran, Syria, North Korea, Brazil, Venezuela, Cuba and a few other nations are on the list for 'regime change' during the coming years. That they stand outside full control by the western bankers and militarists.

The formation of the BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa) alternative economic institution will loan money

to developing countries and not exploit them the way western bankers are seen to do using the IMF and World Bank. The west is not happy about BRICS and thus we saw the leader of Brazil being recently dethroned in a coup?



Raytheon global missile system

The US-NATO alliance is not happy to currently see many nations around the world begin to stand up against this long run of western imperialism. The BRICS nations are calling for a multi-polar world and the US demands to remain as the unipolar leader of the planet. The US did appear willing to go to war to defend its collapsing empire, though with the new President, Donald Trump, this is very unlikely.

Though some will continue to advocate the same foreign and military policy. Perhaps it is part fear that the world has begun to question and perhaps reject full spectrum dominance?

What is Dominance?

Growing global poverty and the reality of climate change though are banging on the front door of the US.

About 55% of every federal tax dollar under the discretion of Congress goes to the Pentagon. This constitutes for year 2017, 800 Billion dollars. The US has seemingly become a war culture. The economy is addicted to military production. People are increasingly being indoctrinated that the Russians and the Muslims are coming to attack so must spend more on weapons for war and must have the very best space technology system in place to protect. The US in this respect can arguably be seen as a paranoid nation.

What do you think? It is not intended that we agree.

There is a wedding in America of the government and corporate power - they are one in the same thing. The scripted propaganda machine sells an image to the world of democracy, freedom, peace, and the rule of law.



Raytheon sea-launched missile

Asia

The US Space Command should "control and dominate space and deny other nations the use of space".

The notion of US exceptionalism has now been extended to outer space. In order to successfully operate the current US global space war fighting system, downlink ground stations have been based around the world to relay military communications from one place to another via space satellites.

The US today is deploying Missile Defense (MD) systems around the globe - essentially encircling Russia and China. Added to this, though it should change under Trump (perhaps) is/was Obama's pivot of 60% of US military forces into the Asia-Pacific - termed rebalancing. This pivot is dangerous and hugely expensive, so costly that the US allies must be pressured to help pay for the program - if it continues.



US Military Bases Encircling China

China imports 80% of its resources via maritime activity. Thus one can assume the Pentagon pivot as a military strategy to possibly block China's sea routes - literally putting a loaded gun to Beijing's head. China has responded by building a couple new bases on tiny coral reefs so to ensure their unhampered access to the sea lanes in their region.

The MD radar base at Kyogamisaki would assist the Pentagon's attempt to intercept Chinese retaliatory nuclear missiles which they would fire after the US launched a first-strike attack. MD is being deployed by the Pentagon in Japan, Okinawa, South Korea, Taiwan, Guam, the Philippines, and Australia to protect against North Korean missiles. Tactically speaking one might assume the US is deploying MD to be able to control and dominate China and Russia. The space-directed military machine aimed at Beijing and Moscow.



THAAD missiles

Missile Defense

MD was illegal under the Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty between Russia and the US. Both sides knew that MD is a

destabilizing program that would give one side an advantage over the other. MD's key job is to be the shield that is used to pick-off a nation's nuclear retaliatory capability after a first-strike sword lunges into the heart of the opponent's nuclear forces.

President George W. Bush in 2001 gave Russia notice that the US was pulling out of the ABM Treaty. Since that time US research, development, testing, and deployment of MD systems has been on steroids. At the time of the collapse of the former USSR the US promised that NATO would not expand one centimeter toward Russia. Since then that promise has been repeatedly broken and today NATO has established bases along Russia's border in Latvia, Lithuania and Estonia. Pentagon MD systems have been deployed and in Poland. US Navy Aegis destroyers also carry MD interceptor missiles on-board and today are being deployed in the waters off the coasts of Russia and China.




THAAD launch

During early 2014 the US spent \$5 billion in a coup d'état in Ukraine that took down an elected government and replaced it with a government in the capital city of Kiev which included neo-Nazis. The US has established a base in western Ukraine where Army Special Forces troops come from the US to train neo-

Nazis which have been assigned to the newly created Ukrainian National Guard. These forces have moved to eastern Ukraine, along the Russian border, where for the last two years they have killed thousands of citizens by shelling homes, hospitals, churches, schools, day care centers, airports and rail stations. The only crime of the people in eastern Ukraine is that they are of Russian ethnic origin.

It is being said and which we have read, but not necessarily agreed with, that the US intends to destabilize Ukraine in order to ultimately force regime change in Moscow. One reason for this is that because of climate change the Arctic Sea ice is melting and the oil corporations are eager to drill in the once frozen Arctic region. But Russia has the largest land border with Russia thus the supposed need to break the large Russian Federation into pieces (like happened to Yugoslavia during the presidency of Bill Clinton). By doing this the oil companies believe they would have an easier time in taking the oil close to the Russia-Arctic coastline.

The Pentagon is deploying four basic MD systems. Inside the US in California and Alaska, are Ground-Based Midcourse MD interceptors buried deep underground. Their job is to hit a bullet with a bullet in deep space following a retaliatory strike by Russia or China. This program has the most difficult technical task and has the worst testing results. That is, it is unlikely to work as planned.



Lockheed Martin THAAD

In order to increase the chances of being able to knock missiles out of the sky it helps to put the MD interceptors closer to the intended targets. Thus the US is deploying MD systems on Navy Aegis destroyers and porting them in Japan, South Korea, the Philippines and Guam. These ship-based interceptors have the best testing success rates.

Mobile ground-based MD interceptors such as the Patriot (PAC-3) and Theatre High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) are used to knock out incoming missiles in the terminal phase. These systems are now being deployed in Japan, Okinawa, South Korea and Guam.

Missiles in Asia

The Pentagon plans to station THAAD in the farming village of Seongju (population 10,000). The right-wing South Korean government, following orders from Washington, likely chose this village because it had backed the conservative government by a margin of 85 percent in the last national election. But that has now changed.

The anti-THAAD movement has become a national issue and one of the top concerns for the peace movement in South Korea today. So the US is currently developing MD systems to hit nuclear missiles in the boost phase, mid-course phase, and the terminal phase. This clearly indicates the seriousness that the Pentagon attaches to being able to launch a first-strike attack on China and/or Russia, and then knock out any retaliatory strike they might be able to fire in return.

MD is not just a theoretical program. The US Space Command holds a computer war game each year where they practice such a first-strike attack - the Blue team against the Red team. In the war game a US first-strike is launched against Russia and China. When they respond by firing their own nuclear missiles which have survived the initial Pentagon attack, the Space Command's MD systems go to work to pick off the remaining missiles.

The main point is that the Pentagon is actively preparing for such a first-strike attack. The Pentagon deployments of MD interceptor missiles and radars provides Space Command the confidence that they can use this system. Thus MD becomes highly destabilizing and very dangerous to world peace.

Fort Buckner which plays a critical role in inter-base, tactical and strategic Command, Control, Communications and Computer (C4) network support of joint Pacific warfighters. Fort Buckner relays military satellite communications between bases in South Korea, Japan, Okinawa, Guam, the Philippines, Hawaii, and the Pentagon.

Conflict of a Nuclear Nature

The US is run by corporate interests who have determined that America's role under globalization of the world economy will be security export, which translates to endless war? The number one industrial export product of the US today is weapons. When weapons are the number one industrial export, what is the global marketing strategy for that product line?


Pentagon to create; peace, democracy and stability around the world with their more than 800 military bases? Or the expansion of US bases in the Asia-Pacific being largely about creating the military infrastructure to take down China and Russia?

Add the current US pivot into the Asia-Pacific; the Japanese Shinzo Abe government's reinterpretation of peaceful Article 9 in their constitution so to allow Tokyo to deploy offensive military forces; the destabilizing US-Japan-South Korean military alliance; thus is the makings of a very aggressive program that could easily trigger World War III?

Both the PRC and Russian governments have repeatedly stated that they would like to reduce their nuclear forces but cannot do it as long as the US is encircling their countries with MD systems. China and Russia each year go to the United Nations and introduce a new treaty to ban all weapons in space. The US and Israel (which has 200 nuclear weapons) annually block serious negotiations on that important treaty. Both Russia and China have as a consequence expanded their military operations and to even build more nuclear weapons as they face the US MD program and an expanding NATO.

Note that the concept of an expanding NATO is under question.

Secret Intelligence Service



Room No. 15

(C-I)

20 01 2016

Notes for Discussion :

'A Quickly Changing and Wider Perspective'

Adversitate. Custodi. Per Verum