

Secret Intelligence Service

(C-I) Notes VII



November 1st. Navy (Navy) US transferred its base of Apra Harbor on the island of Guam in the Pacific Ocean ballistic missile submarine "Pennsylvania".

Nuclear submarine carrying ballistic missiles is moored in Apra Harbor for the first time in 28 years.

The last time an American nuclear submarine strategic missile being moved to Guam in 1988,

The official representative of the Strategic Command (STRATCOM) US Armed Forces Beck Clark said that the timing of the host submarine "# PA" on Guam were not disclosed.

To whom is focused a new show of force in the Asia-Pacific Region (APR), the Navy and STRATCOM not explain.

Press Service of the Navy said only that "the United States routinely and visibly demonstrate commitment to" safety "allies and partners, through forward presence and strategic forces operations"

P.S. Interestingly, during an interview with a TV set. "Star" V. Baranez suggested the possibility of carrying anti-ship missiles to new 5th generation Chinese fighter j-20

P.S.S total number of nuclear warheads in missiles 24 Ohio submarines type:

24 * 8 = 192 warheads (on 475kT each. The diameter of the fireball-1.5 km)
or 24 * 14 = 336 warheads (on 100kT kazhdaya. Diametr fireball 1km)

Range up to 11 thousand kilometers; It gets to Russia.

P.P.S. In China, 228 cities have a population of over 200 thousand. People. , 462 of the city - more than 100 thousand people.. And 912 cities - more than 53 thousand.

According to census of 2000, the largest city of China administrative units were Chongqing (30.5 million), Shanghai (16.4 million), Beijing (13.5 million), Chengdu (11.1 million), Baoding (10.5 million), Linyi (9.9 million), Guangzhou (9.9 million), Tantszin (9.8 million).

6.1 million Syrians displaced

USA spent 60 billion on failed projects soon to exceed one trillion dollars nothing to do with human resource need only he profits of corporate giants WMD

The Owl is in Harrogate 700not 13D

Suffice it to say that to remain calm under pressure requires the capacity for calmness characteristic of the person, you, with regard to all circumstances of life. It is not about displaying an aggressive demeanour because as anyone who has been instructed in how to deal with very violent encounters will tell you, it is about the development of an enduring quality the constituents of which are often referred to as 'better social breeding'. There are other ways of describing this, but being a thug, a person who outwardly threatens (via recourse to stupid mannerisms, stupid attire, stupid behaviours including driving and carrying weapons), is not one of them. We are not thugs; we are professionals, the best people, respectful people, disciplined, remember this.

Whatever the characteristics are of an attacker, one point to bear in mind first is that if the attacker can see fear in you, there will only be one outcome. We do not feel fear, this is easy to say and we can discuss this, how to 'turn on' what more often than not strikes this very emotion in an attacker. It has to be instinctual and this can and should be developed. We shall assist in this.

There are specific ways to disable an attacker and we shall discuss these too, but bear in mind that we do not want potential attackers - thugs, to acquire them so therefore we must be responsible. It is very easy to hurt someone, likely permanently, in defence of your life. Note the last assertion; 'in defence of your life', it is important because these skills must remain hidden away, never brandished outwardly so as to intimidate and/or hide behind them. If someone threatens you verbally, you walk away and doing so is not cowardice. As said, we are a better class of people. If someone lays their hands on you, that is a different matter. We shall discuss the contexts more, but remember the foundation upon which all that you do rests upon and which is; that you let the other know you are not afraid of them. This facet of character is instantly recognizable and often is all that there needs to be. But not always so.

The Owl is Awake 1430 not then but13 mnvrvvcds0

It is usually said that man (and woman) re social animals. This is true, but it should be qualified. It is usually said that man (and woman) need friends and this the matter requiring of carefully consideration because acquaintances are not friends nor should they be treated as though they are. There are methods, more so now than ever before that make it easy to find out definitively if the supposed trusted friend is really so. It is practical therefore to refuse to admit others into one's confidence unless they have fulfilled the close scrutiny to which you subject them. Remember that life is fraught with, and not any means confined to those who would 'take you for a ride' and think nothing of it and which is why they want you as a friend. One is not a charity for the con artist, a sounding board neither for the psychopath nor in fact the lonely soul desperate for anyone. The latter is the

worst case scenario and fraught with danger. Very obviously we can ascertain the nature of who appears among us, but it's not always that easy for some to be contrary to what is their established inclination which is to trust. We are not impressed by those whose social standing and/or wealth is used as a veil through which to boast and to tempt who they assume is susceptible. How is it possible to avoid what is absolutely certain to ensue if the one entering your social world seems likeable, trustworthy? The fundamental axiom is TRUST NO ONE. There is not a single person who will suddenly appear and be the one of your dreams, so stop dreaming and begin exploring yourself. There are no problems in being alone. There is a whole world of experience and learning, what never will become ordinary, no matter what others say. Trust no one. We can discuss how to establish the degree of genuine trust in the other party.

The Owl is not in the dark 13fortyrat1300notnow

*** ***(9)notobvious

It is usually said that man (and woman) re social animals. This is true, but it should be qualified. It is usually said that man (and woman) need friends and this the matter requiring of carefully consideration because acquaintances are not friends nor should they be treated as though they are. There are methods, more so now than ever before that make it easy to find out definitively if the supposed trusted friend is really so. It is practical therefore to refuse to admit others into one's confidence unless they have fulfilled the close scrutiny to which you subject them. Remember that life is fraught with those who would 'take you for a ride' and think nothing of it and which is why they want you as a friend. One is not a charity for the con artist, a sounding board neither for the psychopath nor in fact the lonely soul desperate for anyone. The latter is the worst case scenario and fraught with danger. Very obviously we can ascertain the nature of who appears among us, but it's not always that easy for some to be contrary to what is their established inclination which is to trust. We are not impressed by those whose social standing and/or wealth is used as a veil through which to boast and to tempt who they assume is susceptible. How is it possible to avoid what is absolutely certain to ensue if the one entering your social world seems likeable, trustworthy? The fundamental axiom is TRUST NO ONE. There is

not a single person who will suddenly appear and be the one of your dreams, so stop dreaming and begin exploring yourself. There are no problems in being alone. There is a whole world of experience and learning, what never will become ordinary, no matter what others say. Trust no one. We can discuss how to establish the degree of genuine trust in the other party

The Owl is in Harrogate not12 heuitbatsame

I say in response; if there is an inclination toward despising someone or somewhere, then do so. Do not seek for ways to become 'satisfied,' because doing so means giving up part of the self. Be aloof and be confident. The world is literally brimming with scum who are not worth the air they breathe but think they are. Learn how to kill an assailant. Learn how to outwardly display the despising that others are deserving of. Obviously many do not deserve, and as said, there are ways in which to discover unequivocally, who is, and who is not.

How Russia and China Would Wage War Against the USA - Kill the Satellites

Jammers, missiles, lasers and anti-satellite satellites. US fears Russia and China are developing all of these

Russia and China are actively pursuing new weapons and capabilities to counter America's dominance of space according a U.S. Intelligence Community assessment. Indeed, both nations are considering the development of weapons that could attack U.S. satellites and other space-based assets in orbit.

"We assess that Russia and China perceive a need to offset any U.S. military advantage derived from military, civil, or commercial space systems and are increasingly considering attacks against satellite systems as part of their future warfare doctrine," reads congressional testimony from Daniel Coats, director of National Intelligence on May 11.

"Both will continue to pursue a full range of anti-satellite (ASAT) weapons as a means to reduce U.S. military effectiveness."

The two great powers—which seek to offset America's advantages in that domain—are continuing the development of such capabilities despite public statements that would curtail an arms race in space. "Russia and China remain committed to developing capabilities to challenge perceived adversaries in space, especially the United States, while publicly and diplomatically promoting non-weaponization of space and 'no first placement' of weapons in space," Coats stated.

"Such commitment continues despite ongoing US and allied diplomatic efforts to dissuade expansion of threats to the peaceful use of space, including international engagements through the U.N."

Most attacks against U.S. space assets are likely to be non-kinetic, focusing on electronic attacks and cyber-warfare. "Development will very likely focus on jamming capabilities against dedicated military satellite communications (SATCOM), Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) imaging satellites, and enhanced capabilities against Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS), such as the US Global Positioning System (GPS)," Coats' testimony reads.

"Blending of EW [electronic warfare] and cyber-attack capabilities will likely expand in pursuit of sophisticated means to deny and degrade information networks. Chinese researchers have discussed methods to enhance robust jamming capabilities with new systems to jam commonly used frequencies. Russia intends to modernize its EW forces and field a new generation of EW weapons by 2020."

However, when electronic warfare and cyber-weapons fail to achieve their desired objectives, the Russian and Chinese are prepared to use kinetic force to physically destroy American space assets. "Some new Russian and Chinese ASAT weapons, including destructive systems, will probably complete development in the next several years," Coats stated.

"Russian military strategists likely view counter-space weapons as an integral part of broader aerospace defence rearmament and are very likely pursuing a diverse suite of capabilities to affect satellites in all orbital regimes."

But it's not just the Russian military; policymakers in Moscow are also promoting anti-satellite weapons in the view of the U.S. intelligence community. "Russian lawmakers have promoted military pursuit of ASAT missiles to strike low-Earth orbiting

satellites, and Russia is testing such a weapon for eventual deployment," Coats stated.

"A Russian official also acknowledged development of an aircraft-launched missile capable of destroying satellites in low-Earth orbit."

On the other side of the world, China is on the verge of fielding an operational anti-satellite weapon. Meanwhile, both great powers are working on developing directed energy weapons to counter American satellites.

"Ten years after China intercepted one of its own satellites in low-Earth orbit, its ground-launched ASAT missiles might be nearing operational service within the PLA [People's Liberation Army]," Coats stated.

"Both countries are advancing directed energy weapons technologies for the purpose of fielding ASAT systems that could blind or damage sensitive space-based optical sensors. Russia is developing an airborne laser weapon for use against US satellites."

Additionally, both nations are developing satellites that can either tamper with other space assets or if necessary collide with and destroy an enemy orbital vehicle. "Russia and China continue to conduct sophisticated on-orbit satellite activities, such as rendezvous and proximity operations, at least some of which are likely intended to test dual-use technologies with inherent counter-space functionality," Coats stated.

"For instance, space robotic technology research for satellite servicing and debris-removal might be used to damage satellites. Such missions will pose a particular challenge in the future, complicating the U.S. ability to characterize the space environment, decipher intent of space activity, and provide advance threat warning."

Thus, as time goes on, the Pentagon will have to invest more to ensure America retains its superiority in space.

The Owl is in Harrogate Tea 1720 not before and 13erfc&

No Society can legitimately call itself civilized if a sick person is denied medical aid because of lack of means. - Nye Bevan

Our society must make it right and possible for old people not to fear the young or be deserted by them, for the test of a civilization is the way that it cares for its helpless members. - Pearl S. Buck

The test of the morality of a society is what it does for its children. - Dietrich Bonhoeffer

A decent provision for the poor is the true test of civilization. - Samuel Johnson

The most certain test by which we judge whether a country is really free is the amount of security enjoyed by minorities. - John E. Dalberg

The moral test of government is how that government treats those who are in the dawn of life, the children; those who are in the twilight of life, the elderly; those who are in the shadows of life; the sick, the needy and the handicapped.

[Last Speech of Hubert H. Humphrey]

A nation's greatness is measured by how it treats its weakest members. - Mahatma Gandhi

China's Plan for New World Order: What Role Does It Leave to Russia?

On Monday, The Belt and Road Forum for International Cooperation wrapped up in China's capital of Beijing. Alexei Maslov, one of the leading Russian scholars and expert in East Asian studies, talked to Sputnik about what the summit achieved and the true aims of China's ambitious One Belt, One Road project.

China's most ambitious project, known as One Belt, One Road, is seen by many as purely an economic and trade initiative, concerned with huge infrastructure projects, mostly around transport and energy: roads, bridges, gas pipelines, ports, railways, and power plants.

Professor Alexei Maslov, Head of the School of Asian Studies at Russia's Higher School of Economics and Director of the Centre for Chinese Strategic Studies however explained what China's real purpose is with the project.

"Apart from the purely economic, the idea initially had another side. It is usually referred to as geo-strategic, however the world 'civilizational' better fits here, even though China tries not to show it off," reads Professor Maslov's analytical article

The core of the project, he further explains, is that China wants to play a key role in the global world which will follow new rules, and where countries will have new roles.

The One Belt, One Road initiative, which China proposed in 2013, is a matrix of traditional Chinese or, to put it more broadly, of Asian political perception.

Professor Maslov further notes that the project does not have a clear plan, no clear parameters, calculations of expenses, pay-off period or other operational costs. China only announced it is ready to invest into the project, providing no details on the payback period or what the national economies of the participating countries should do for it.

"The ambiguity of the initiative however guarantees its 'insubmersibility' - no one would be able to assess its success. It gives China the right to change the rules of the game depending on the situation," the expert explains.

China, he says, is now fleshing out the initiative by declaring long-existing projects to be part of it. He cites as an example the construction of the Padma Bridge in Bangladesh, which is expected to be opened in December 2018 as part of the project, and which was announced in 2010, long before the launch of the project. Or the construction of the partly operational China-Pakistan corridor which connects China's city of Kashgar with the Pakistani port city of Gwadar, which was launched in 2002.

The West is wondering what China needs these numerous unhurried annual discussions and conferences for, he says. The aim is evident: to see how the world is reacting to the idea to unite around China and its vision of a more open world, which will be accessible not only to those western countries who initially set up the rules of the modern world.

The Belt and Road Forum, Professor Maslov says, has become the first review of allies that China has gathered around itself, many of whom are holders of Beijing's grants.

China, in turn, is trying to demonstrate that it is friendly to the world, it tries to give a new impulse to the participating countries without infringing upon their interests.

China says the project is open to everyone, the trick however is that one can join in only admitting China's leadership in reshaping the world order. There is no way for joint

activities here, as there is simply no mechanism for them, the expert says.

The situation appears to be black and white: either join or reject, as, for example, the US, India and a number of European countries chose to.

However there is the third option - mutually supporting projects. This is what President Putin voiced at the Forum in Beijing by proposing cooperation within the One Belt, One Road by bringing such structures as the Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU), the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) and the Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN) on board.

It sounds like an excellent idea, the expert says, however it does not suit China which is not interested in equal economic cooperation between the countries and organizations. For Beijing, it is an expansive geopolitical offensive on the world and all the spheres of activity.


China however regards Russia as a key strategic partner, Professor Maslov says, and not only because it is easier and cheaper to deliver goods through its territory. But because Russia is the only large partner of Beijing who is ready to take the risks of reshaping of the world order and not be a mere bit player in China's project.

It depends what the designation 'lone wolf' does encapsulate because there is a range of variables to consider - the one thread which runs through is the one person motivated to commit atrocity. Obviously there are factors regarding who the person might have been in contact with, why and so on but here, the very compulsion and its psychological basis is the focus. Unfortunately there are influencers which are available via being consciously susceptible. Information and images which bear upon what are not necessarily obvious to the person concerned, but that person is changed as a result. The consequences are that the desire to seek out and act upon what is an emerged compulsion has to be a consideration. Not all respond to, nor take from what is presented, but some do, the mechanism is at play in the social world in which we exist.

The Owl is alert. IHR15not13teuig**

Very interesting test of Sigmund Freud

One of the basic rules is to write what one feels and what comes first. It's okay if one has only one answer to different questions. Not to read all at once. To read the questions in



turn - why rush, it will not be so interesting if one looks at the next question without writing down the answer to the previous one.

To begin. All eight questions :

1. You peer into the sea. What was your first feeling?
2. You walk through the forest and look under your feet. What do you feel?
3. You see the flight of seagulls. What do you feel while doing this?
4. You see the herd of horses. What do you feel? (Write the first thing that comes to mind)
5. In the desert a wall with a small hole, behind which is an oasis. What will you do?
6. Tired in the desert you see on the road a jug of water. What will you do?
7. Lost in the woods, evening, I saw a house in which a window shines. What are you going to do?
8. You are in a fog. Your actions, how will you behave?

RESULTS (What Freud meant by these questions):

1. Your attitude to life, emotions, sensations.
2. Your state of health in your own family.
3. Your attitude towards women.
4. Your attitude towards men.
5. Your main life strategy, goal.
6. Your sexual selectivity.
7. Your willingness to marry.
8. Your attitude towards death.



Adversitate. Custodi. Per Verum

